

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

21.

O.A. No. 49 of 2011

Bishen Singh, Ex. MCEAP II

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner: Petitioner in person.

For respondents: Sh. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. S.S.DHILLON, MEMBER.

ORDER

29.08.2011

1. This is the second round of litigation. In first round of litigation, the petitioner's grievance was redressed when the respondents filed their reply and pointed out that how his pension was to be worked out. His pension was worked out after the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Anuj Kumar Dey & Anr. v. Union of India & Ors. JT (1996) 10 SC 679** giving him the benefit of period of service rendered by him in Artificer Apprentice. That made him eligible for pension otherwise petitioner did not put in 15 years of service.

2. Now the petitioner has raised the issue of interest. So far as the payment of interest is concerned in the present case, the Government has decided to give it within 11 months after the judgment given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Anuj Kumar Dey & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors. JT (1996) 10 SC 679**. They have explained in detail that as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, a detailed procedure was undertaken by issuing a notice and informing the persons

who are since then retired and the petitioner sent a request and it was disposed of expeditiously within 11 months and pension was released to him by adding the period of service as per the directions given by Ministry of Defence relying on the above said judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court and the petitioner's pension was fixed at Rs.7,943/- per month. In view of the fact that the petitioner's case for revision has been dealt with expeditiously after following proper procedure in view of the above said judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, we do not find any ground to grant any interest on the aforesaid amount to the petitioner. Hence, we do not find any merit in the petition. The same is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs.

**A.K. MATHUR**  
(Chairperson)

**S.S. DHILLON**  
(Member)

**New Delhi**  
**August 29, 2011**